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Manual therapy and eccentric exercise in the management of Achilles tendinopathy
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Chronic Achilles tendinopathy (AT) is an overuse condition seen among runners. Eccentric exercise can decrease pain and improve function for those with chronic degenerative tendon changes; however, some individuals have continued pain requiring additional intervention. While joint mobilization and manipulation has not been studied in the management in Achilles tendinopathy, other chronic tendon dysfunction, such as lateral epicondylalgia, has responded well to manual therapy (MT). Three runners were seen in physical therapy (PT) for chronic AT. They were prescribed eccentric loading exercises and calf stretching. Joint mobilization and manipulation was implemented to improve foot and ankle mobility, decrease pain, and improve function. Immediate within-session changes in pain, heel raise repetitions, and pressure pain thresholds (PPT) were noted following joint-directed MT in each patient. Each patient improved in self-reported function on the Achilles tendon specific Victorian Institute for Sport Assessment questionnaire (VISA-A), pain levels, PPT, joint mobility, ankle motion, and single-leg heel raises at discharge and 9-month follow-up. The addition of MT directed at local and remote sites may enhance the rehabilitation of patients with AT. Further research is necessary to determine the efficacy of adding joint mobilization to standard care for AT.
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Background

Chronic Achilles tendinopathy (AT) can be a functionally limiting condition occurring in up to 11% of runners,¹ with an incidence rate of injury reported to be 2.35 per 1000 adults.² This condition is often characterized by limited function, stiffness, pain, and tenderness at the Achilles tendon, most commonly 2–7 cm proximal to the insertion site. Histopathological investigation of AT has revealed degeneration and disordered arrangement of collagen fibers, increased vascularity, an increase in mucoid ground substance and more cells with rounded nuclei.³ AT is typically related to overuse with a number of proposed risk factors⁴ including abnormal talocrural and subtalar joint motion.⁵ Subjective reports of pain and tenderness may be sufficient to arrive at a clinical diagnosis of AT.⁶ Physical therapy (PT) examination and treatment options are well detailed in clinical practice guidelines developed by the Orthopedic section of the American Physical Therapy Association, with strong evidence supporting eccentric exercise.⁷ However, despite strong evidence supporting conservative management for AT, up to 29% of patients go on to surgical intervention⁸ indicating the needs of some patients are not being met by previously described intervention approaches. In cases when 4–6 months of conservative treatment fails, surgery may be indicated to remove fibrotic adhesions and degenerative nodules to restore vascularity,⁴ with positive outcomes noted.⁵

While the mechanisms are still under investigation, eccentric exercise has been associated with decreased neo-vascularization, decreased tendon thickness, and improved tendon structure in individuals with chronic AT.⁷,⁸ This is interesting, as neurovascular ingrowth of the degenerated tendon has been linked to nociception in patients with AT.⁵ Different eccentric exercise protocols exist for AT, yet each has demonstrated positive outcomes.¹⁰–¹⁴ One case series reported that improvements were maintained at a five year follow-up, after using bilateral, unilateral, eccentric, and fast-rebounding heel raise exercises for patients with chronic AT.¹⁵ Although eccentric exercise alone has led to good outcomes, it remains unclear if a specific prescription is optimal.

While a single case report detailing the utilization of soft tissue mobilization in the management of AT has been published,¹⁶ joint mobilization and manipulation have not been studied in the management of AT. Numerous mechanisms for the efficacy of manual therapy (MT) have been
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described, involving biomechanical, neurophysiological, and psychosocial components. Joint mobilization specifically has been associated with decreased nociceptive reflex excitability, enhanced conditioned pain modulation, and reduction of bilateral hyperalgesia following unilateral joint mobilization. Spinal thrust manipulation has been associated with immediate changes in pain sensitivity indicating both thrust and non-thrust techniques can alter pain processing mechanisms, potentially enhancing rehabilitation programs. Considering that limited ankle dorsiflexion motion may contribute to the development of AT, and that dorsiflexion mobility improved after joint mobilization in other patient populations, it seems reasonable that using joint mobilization to improve ankle mobility may decrease overuse of the Achilles tendon when walking or running. While not well described in the AT population, MT has been associated with positive outcomes in other tendinopathies and may be beneficial for AT as well.

The purpose of this report is to describe the addition of joint mobilization and manipulation to an eccentric exercise program in three patients with AT.

Examination

Three patients were seen in an outpatient PT setting for chronic AT, confirmed by Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). This work was deemed exempt from IRB approval, as fewer than four patients are described. Informed consent was received and the rights of the patients were protected.

A standardized examination was performed by the same therapist (DJ) on each patient. The examination included a detailed subjective history and objective tests and measures. Each patient denied a history of ankle sprains or other ankle injuries. Each patient took ibuprofen as needed for pain relief. None of the patients had prior treatment for their condition. Their goals were to return to running without symptoms.

Objective testing included examination of symptom provoking functional activities and functional movements, regional screening, balance, range of motion (ROM), force generation capacity, joint physiologic and accessory mobility, self-report outcome measures, and quantitative sensory testing. Pertinent examination findings are presented in Table 1.

Active Motion Testing

Posture, single limb stance balance, bilateral and unilateral squats were examined for static and dynamic lower extremity alignment, and were unimpaired. Walking and running gait were evaluated on a treadmill. Lumbar, hip, and knee screens, including active and passive ROM including overpressures, were negative for Achilles pain reproduction in all three cases. Neuroprovocation assessment using the straight leg raise and slump test was negative for symptom reproduction. Hip abduction (gluteus medius bias) and extension (gluteus maximus bias) strength were tested in sidelying and prone, respectively, and each patient tested strong. Ankle plantarflexion endurance was tested using a repeated single-limb heel raise. If a patient was unable to complete a full repetition due to pain, the angle that the patient’s heel raised off the ground was measured, using the first metatarsalphalangeal (MTP) joint as the fulcrum.

Passive Mobility Assessment

Ankle dorsiflexion ROM was tested in supine using a goniometer. Calf length was tested in supine by passively dorsiflexing the ankle with the knee bent and straight. Restriction of the gastrocnemius was noted on the involved side in each patient, as demonstrated by decreased ankle DF noted with the knee straight, and more motion present with the knee bent. Tenderness and thickening was noted with palpation of the mid-portions of the Achilles tendon in each case, yet the insertion site and retrocalcaneal bursa

---

Table 1 Initial examination findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of primary symptoms</th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left Achilles tendon</td>
<td>Right Achilles tendon</td>
<td>Right Achilles tendon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Achilles tendon</td>
<td>Right Achilles tendon</td>
<td>Right Achilles tendon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.2 BMI</td>
<td>21.4 BMI</td>
<td>23.8 BMI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overuse</td>
<td>Overuse</td>
<td>Overuse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+) 4 cm proximal to insertion</td>
<td>(+) 2 cm proximal to insertion</td>
<td>(+) 4 cm proximal to insertion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased pronation through left mid/terminal stance</td>
<td>Increased pronation through right mid/terminal stance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISA-A</td>
<td>32/100</td>
<td>51/100</td>
<td>47/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain rating (NPRS)</td>
<td>Best: 0/10</td>
<td>Best: 0/10</td>
<td>Best: 0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel raise repetitions</td>
<td>Worst: 6/10</td>
<td>Worst: 8/10</td>
<td>Worst: 5/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt; 1, able to get 20° plantarflexion)</td>
<td>(6/10 pain)</td>
<td>(6/10 pain)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT (kPa)</td>
<td>Left: 152</td>
<td>Left: 420</td>
<td>Left: 415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle DF AROM</td>
<td>Right: 30°</td>
<td>Right: 314</td>
<td>Right: 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–6° Joint mobility</td>
<td>Hypomobile AP glide of talus</td>
<td>Hypomobile AP glide of talus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypomobile lateral glide at subtalar joint</td>
<td>Hypomobile lateral glide at subtalar joint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; VISA-A: victorian institute of sports, Australia – Achilles tendon; NPRS: numerical pain rating scale; PPT: pressure pain thresholds, in kilopascals; DF: dorsiflexion; AROM: active range of motion; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; AP: anterior to posterior.
were not tender, and no active trigger points were noted in the calf. The Thompson test was negative. Ligamentous stress testing at the talocrural and subtalar joints was negative. Joint physiologic and accessory motion testing was performed at the proximal and distal tibiofibular joints, talocrural and subtalar joints, midfoot and first MTP, with restrictions present at the rearfoot in each case.

**Outcome Measures**

Several outcomes were used to measure the effectiveness of the interventions. The Victorian Institute for Sport Assessment developed a valid and reliable self-reported functional scale for disability related to Achilles tendon dysfunction (VISA-A). The VISA-A is comprised of eight questions, scored 0 to 100, with lower scores indicating greater disability. It was shown to have good test-retest \((r = 0.93)\), intrarater \((r = 0.90)\), and interrater reliability \((r = 0.90)\). Pain was measured using the 11-point 0–10 NPRS, where 0 indicates no pain, and 10 represents the worst pain imaginable. The minimal clinically important difference (MCID) for the NPRS differs based on the patient population examined, but has been reported to be 1 point for patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain. Pressure pain threshold (PPT) measurements were taken at the primary location of pain on the Achilles tendon using an algometer (Wagner FPX). PPT is quantified using an algometer and applying gradually increasing pressure against the target tissue until the patient first reports pain. It is commonly used to determine the presence of mechanical hyperalgesia and demonstrates good intrarater reliability (ICC = 0.94–0.97) and an intrarater minimal detectable change (MDC) ranging from 42.7 to 137.0 kPa depending on the region tested. PPT is often measured at boney or muscular sites, the Achilles tendon was used in these cases. Normative PPT values at tendon sites were not found during a literature review so the contralateral Achilles was used as a reference point. At discharge, the Global Rating of Change (GROC) scale was administered. The GROC scale is a self-reported 15-point Likert scale with ‘−7 being a ‘a very great deal worse,’ 0 being ‘no change,’ and +7 being ‘a very great deal better.’ A change in 3 or more points was determined to be a clinically important difference.

**Individual Case Presentations**

**Patient 1** was a 52-year-old female referred from her primary care physician with a diagnosis of left Achilles pain. Central, deep, aching low back pain (LBP) was also reported; however, this was long-standing and she did not believe it to be contributory to her Achilles pain. Otherwise, her past medical history (PMH) was unremarkable. She stated that her symptoms started insidiously 6 months prior when she transitioned to outdoor running from a treadmill. On the numeric pain rating scale (NPRS), her pain was 0/10 at rest and 6/10 at worst. The pain was described as a superficial dull ache, sharp with certain movements, and was worsened by running, walking more than 30 mins, and getting up from sitting. Rest, ice, medication and calf stretching decreased her pain. She would usually run three times a week on a treadmill for 2–3 miles each run. Her LBP was reproduced with overpressure into extension, although it did not re-create her Achilles pain.

**Patient 2** was a 38-year-old male presenting to physical therapy with a diagnosis of right AT from his orthopedic physician. Aside from an anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction 15 years prior on the contralateral limb, his PMH was unremarkable. He reported ongoing Achilles problems for the past three years with 1–2 flare-ups each year. He reported pain to be 0/10 at best and 8/10 at worst. It was aggravated by running, especially when doing speed workouts, and the calf raise machine at the gym. Alleviating activities included icing, rest, taking medication, and cross training. He stated the pain began when training for his first marathon likely due to increasing mileage too quickly. Typical running habits included both trail and treadmill running, 5–6 days each week, between 3 and 12 miles/week depending on training purpose.

**Patient 3** was a 45-year-old male referred by his orthopedic doctor with a diagnosis of right Achilles tendinitis. The patient had hypertension controlled with medication. Otherwise his PMH was unremarkable. He reported an insidious onset nearly one year prior, when training for his first half marathon. At rest, pain was reported at 0/10 and, at worst, it would escalate to 5/10. While the pain diminished following the race, discomfort remained when getting up from sitting or when jogging for fitness. Rest and gentle stretching helped but did not eliminate symptoms. Prior to symptom onset, he was running on treadmills 3–4 times each week, between 3 and 5 miles each time.

**Clinical Impression**

Based on subjective and objective data, each patient’s signs and symptoms appeared consistent with non-insertional AT. The primary PT diagnosis was dysfunction of the plantarflexor mechanism including restricted gastrocnemius length and hypomobility of the talocrural and subtalar joints. Symptoms were activity dependent, suggesting a musculoskeletal condition. Each patient had isolated tenderness at the mid-portion of the Achilles tendon, with no tenderness at the tendon’s insertion or retrocalcaneal bursa. Patients had no reproduction of posterior calf or ankle pain with lumbar, hip, or knee screens, making a proximal joint pain referral less likely. Neuroprovocation testing was negative making a neural condition less likely. Systemic inflammatory disorders did not seem to be present based on subjective examination. The clinical diagnosis was consistent with MRI findings which were available in each case showing chronic tendinopathic changes at the involved Achilles tendon.

Because patients presented with signs and symptoms appearing consistent with mid-portion AT, they were prescribed an eccentric loading program, which has been
and extended, twice each day for 12 weeks. Given the positive outcomes with varied exercise prescriptions,10–12 patients were instructed to perform eccentric loading as described by Alfredson et al.10 only with knees straight, as the therapist believed this position to be more comfortable for the patient. Additionally, patients were prescribed stretching for the gastrocnemius standing flat on the floor in subtalar neutral, to be performed 3 times each day, 3 sets of 30 s each. Static stretching and eccentric exercise were found to be more effective than eccentric exercise alone in a small study of patients with patellar tendinopathy.43

Visit 2 – Week 2
Patients reported compliance with eccentric training and stretching exercises. As each patient had restrictions in joint motion, joint thrust and non-thrust mobilizations were performed to improve ankle mobility and decrease pain. Single-limb heel raises and PPT values were assessed immediately before and after joint mobilization. Joint accessory glides were re-assessed at the onset of each session. Given patient 1’s mild mobility restriction, but low PPT values, she received a high velocity low amplitude shown to be generally effective for this population.5 In addition to eccentric exercise, each patient demonstrated joint restrictions that the therapist believed to be contributory to the patients’ symptoms. Subsequently, impairment based joint thrust and non-thrust mobilizations were added, with therapist discretion as to the location and type of application.

### Intervention
Specific interventions utilized at each visit and the response to treatment is detailed for each patient in Table 2. All interventions for each session were provided by the same therapist (DJ) who performed the initial examination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Visit</th>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Pre-treatment values</th>
<th>Manual therapy</th>
<th>Post-treatment values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (evaluation)</td>
<td>Eccentric heel drop, 3 × 15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Calf stretch, 3 × 30°</td>
<td>Heel Raises: &lt; 1 rep, 20° off ground</td>
<td>Supine talocrural distraction thrust manipulation</td>
<td>Heel Raises: &lt; 1, 47° off ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 1</td>
<td>Right sidelying, Left L5-S1 rotational thrust manipulation</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (discharge)</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (evaluation)</td>
<td>Eccentric heel drop, 3 × 15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Calf stretch, 3 × 30°</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 5, grade III+, ×4 min</td>
<td>AP glide right talus, lateral glide right subtalar joint, grade III+, ×4 min</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 7, PPT: 323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 7</td>
<td>Standing mobilization with movement with belt for ankle dorsiflexion, ×4 min</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 9, PPT: 338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (discharge)</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (evaluation)</td>
<td>Eccentric heel drop, 3 × 15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Calf stretch, 3 × 30°</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 6</td>
<td>AP glide right talus, grade III+, ×4 min</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 7, PPT: 374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 7</td>
<td>Supine talocrural distraction thrust manipulation</td>
<td>Heel Raises: 8, PPT: 380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (discharge)</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Interventions

Abbreviations: AP: anterior to posterior; NA: not applicable; PPT: pressure pain threshold readings taken at involved tendon, in kilopascals.

At the initial examination, patients were educated on Achilles tendon anatomy, clinical pathology, pain science, proper training, footwear while running, and what patients could expect with physical therapy. Each patient was trained in eccentric loading for the Achilles tendon, modified from Alfredson et al.10 Alfredson et al’s protocol calls for 3 sets of 15 repetitions with the knee both flexed and extended, twice each day for 12 weeks. Given the positive outcomes with varied exercise prescriptions,10–12 patients were instructed to perform eccentric loading as described by Alfredson et al.10 only with knees straight, as the therapist believed this position to be more comfortable for the patient. Additionally, patients were prescribed stretching for the gastrocnemius standing flat on the floor in subtalar neutral, to be performed 3 times each day, 3 sets of 30 s each. Static stretching and eccentric exercise were found to be more effective than eccentric exercise alone in a small study of patients with patellar tendinopathy.43
pain reported, and with improved PPT values noted at the Achilles tendon. This is consistent with the work of Coronado et al.,22 which reported improved remote site PPT after spinal manipulation.

Patient 2 demonstrated improved but continued hypoactivity at the talocrural and subtalar joints. Symptoms were starting later during her runs and the therapist believed that weight bearing joint mobility should be addressed, hopefully enhancing functional gait. Standing mobilization with movement with a posterior talar glide was added (Fig. 3), in addition to grade IV subtalar joint lateral glides. Each technique was performed for approximately 4 mins each. While subjective in nature, ‘less restriction’ was operationally defined as decreased tissue resistance to the same force application, and increased movement noted prior to end of available motion. Each patient demonstrated improvements in post-treatment PPT readings and heel raises. They were instructed to continue with their HEP as previously described.

Visit 3 – Week 3

Patients reported continued compliance with their HEP, and noted slight improvement in symptoms. Patient 1 stated that, when walking, she nearly slipped on ice and aggravated her back pain. Increased lumbar extension was noted during gait observation with a shortened stride length bilaterally. Following examination, the therapist believed she may benefit from lumbar manipulation, as she had three positive predictor variables (local pain that did not radiate past the knee, hypomobility of multiple lumbar spine segments, and Fear Avoidance Belief Questionnaire work subscale score less than 19) on the validated clinical prediction rule described by Childs et al.44 for successful response. Beyond strictly manipulating a location of dysfunction, it was believed that improving lumbar mobility would improve gait mechanics by decreasing proximal compensation. A right side-lying, rotational lumbar thrust manipulation was directed at the left L5-S1 segment, as this was the symptomatic side. The patient was able to perform more heel raises with less
pain decreased. Patients were instructed to continue with their HEP.

**Visit 4 – Week 4**

Each patient demonstrated normal joint accessory motion throughout the rearfoot as compared to their uninjured side. Pain, VISA-A, PPT values and heel raise repetitions were also re-assessed. Patient 1 reported jogging a mile with minimal pain, a task she was previously unable to do, however at a mile and a half she would stop due to pain (4/10). Patient 2 remained active in running at a decreased mileage throughout the course of care. He could run 5 miles without increased pain and continue for 5 more miles with 2/10 pain, before pain increased to 4/10 which made him stop. Patient 3 could jog 2 miles prior to discomfort, which he opted not to push through.

Given the lack of joint restriction, symptomatic and functional improvements, and 12 week duration eccentric exercise protocol, the treating therapist believed the patients would benefit from consistent performance of their HEP, without the need for continued manual treatment. They were instructed to continue with eccentric exercise and stretching and were scheduled for a follow-up re-evaluation at 12 weeks. Patients were instructed to contact the therapist with any questions, and to return prior to 12 weeks if symptoms increased.

**Visit 5 – Week 12**

Patients were re-evaluated again at week 12 for progress. Each patient reported compliance with their HEP. Outcome measures were reassessed, with improvements noted throughout. Walking and running gait were evaluated, with no remarkable impairments noted. Patients were symptom free, had normal talocrural and subtalar joint mobility, accomplished all goals, and were, therefore, discharged from physical therapy. Patients were advised to continue to perform stretching and eccentric exercises as part of a regular HEP.

**Outcomes**

Outcome measures for all patients are presented in Table 3. Immediate pre-post measurements improved in heel raises, PPT, and pain levels following each of the joint thrust and non-thrust mobilizations. At discharge, each patient markedly improved their scores on the VISA-A questionnaire and PPT values, and exceeded the MCID for both NPRS and GROC scores. Symptomatic and functional improvements were maintained at a nine month email follow up.

**Discussion**

This case series describes the inclusion of joint mobilization and manipulation with positive immediate effects in pain and function in three patients with chronic AT. To date, no studies have examined the effects of joint thrust and non-thrust mobilization in the treatment of individuals with Achilles tendinopathy.

In runners, limited ankle dorsiflexion motion, subtalar joint hypomobility, decreased ankle plantarflexion strength, training errors, and improper footwear may contribute to the development of Achilles tendinopathy. Interestingly, a 3.5-fold increase in AT has been seen in patients with less than 11.5 degrees of ankle dorsiflexion. Each patient in this case series had restrictions in rearfoot joint accessory mobility that responded positively to joint thrust and non-thrust mobilization, with immediate improvements in pain, PPT values, and plantarflexion endurance. A variety of models may explain this response. This may be associated with a reduction in pain-associated muscle inhibition, via improved motor activation and activation of local, spinal, and supraspinal pain modulatory systems. Joint treatment has been shown to be effective in reducing local concentrations of pain.
inflammatory and neuromodulatory cytokines, which can also affect local tissue hyperalgesia. Improved joint mobility may have allowed for improved joint mechanics during functional tasks thereby reducing aberrant loading though the painful tendon. The increased PPT readings could be associated with decreased peripheral sensitization of nociceptors.

While eccentric exercise has been useful in the management of patients with AT, addressing mobility deficits may be the link required to address persistence of symptoms in individuals who may otherwise be unresponsive to standard care or may accelerate the rehabilitation process with regard to pain. If foot and ankle joint mechanics are altered, individuals may be overloading the ankle plantarflexors and the Achilles tendon, which are essential to the gait cycle. By utilizing joint mobilization and manipulation for Achilles tendinopathy with associated talocrural and subtalar joint hypomobility, as was done in these cases, it is possible that patients may recover more completely than those using exercise alone. Tendinopathy has been addressed in other body regions using various MT techniques, with positive responses. In patients with lateral epicondylalgia, mobilization with movement has been associated with pain reduction, improved shoulder mobility, and improved grip strength. Cervical spine thrust manipulation has also reduced pain for patients with lateral epicondylalgia. MT has additionally been shown to be beneficial in the management of rotator cuff tendinopathy. As motion restriction is a possible risk factor for AT and joint mobilization can be beneficial in managing other tendinopathic conditions, it seems sensible to include joint mobilizations and manipulation in conservative care of Achilles tendinopathy as well.

A number of limitations exist within this report. With three patients, the ability to generalize this work is limited. Given the non-experimental study design, and the lack of a control group, causal relationships cannot be inferred, and factors other than joint thrust and non-thrust mobilization may have been responsible for patient improvement. However, each patient immediately improved pain and function following joint treatment indicating at least immediate benefit for these patients, and patients reported good outcomes at discharge (12 weeks) and follow-up (nine months following initiation of treatment). Eight minutes of high-grade mobilization may have a different treatment effect than a single thrust manipulation (with or without cavitation), making comparison between manual treatments less valid. However, MT remains an intervention requiring sound patient-specific clinical judgment, and therefore, variability between similar patient cases is likely to exist in non-controlled trials.

In the cases presented, joint mobilization/manipulation was utilized in addition to eccentric exercise in the rehabilitation of three runners with Achilles tendinopathy. Immediate improvements in pain levels, PPT readings, and single-leg heel raise performance were noted with joint mobilization and manipulation performed both locally and at remote sites. Subjective, objective, and functional improvements were consistently seen throughout the course of care, in addition to a nine month follow-up. While the long-term efficacy of this treatment approach cannot be determined, the findings suggest that a more rigorous investigation of the role of joint-directed MT in the management of AT is warranted. It would also be interesting to see if MT, to normalize joint mobility, may have a preventative effect on the development of tendon dysfunction.

Conclusion
Abnormal ankle motion and joint mobility may contribute to the development or persistence of Achilles tendinopathy. Three runners were seen in physical therapy for Achilles tendinopathy, with rearfoot hypomobility noted. Joint mobilization and manipulation were utilized in addition to eccentric exercise, with immediate improvements in symptoms and function noted, which were maintained at discharge (12 weeks) and follow-up (nine months). MT appears to be a safe and effective intervention in the rehabilitation of chronic tendinopathic dysfunction.
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