



LUMBAR SPINE CASE 1


A.J. Lievre, PT, DPT, OCS, CMPT
Aaron Hartstein, PT, DPT, OCS, FAAOMPT

Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series
Richmond 2018-2019



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

VOMPTI_CLINICAL REASONING FORM



Body Chart – Initial Hypothesis:

L4-5, 5-S1 disc, facet (somatic)

L4-5, 5-1 radiculopathy


SIJ pain

Extra-articular hip pathology



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com



Differential Diagnosis "Red Flag screening"



Low Back Pain: Clinical Practice Guidelines

Condition	History and Physical Examination Data	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR (95% CI)	LR (95% CI)	LR (95% CI)
Osteoarthritis	Low back pain	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with morning stiffness	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Spinal stenosis	Low back pain with neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Herniated intervertebral disc	Low back pain with neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Inflammatory spondyloarthritis	Low back pain with morning stiffness	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with morning stiffness and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with morning stiffness and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Traumatic spinal cord injury	Low back pain with neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00

RED FLAGS FOR THE LOW BACK REGION

Condition	History and Physical Examination Data	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR (95% CI)	LR (95% CI)	LR (95% CI)
Osteoarthritis	Low back pain	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with morning stiffness	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Spinal stenosis	Low back pain with neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Herniated intervertebral disc	Low back pain with neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Inflammatory spondyloarthritis	Low back pain with morning stiffness	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with morning stiffness and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with morning stiffness and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Traumatic spinal cord injury	Low back pain with neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Low back pain with neurologic deficit and weight bearing and neurologic deficit	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00



Lumbar Special Questions

- Previous Hx of CA
- Unexplained weight loss
- Night pain unrelieved with movement
- Bowel and bladder changes
 - Urinary retention
 - Bowel incontinence
- Saddle region anesthesia
- Recent infection
- Fever, malaise
- Hx of trauma
- Hx of corticosteroid use
- Hx bone disease
 - Osteopenia/porosis
- Pain with rigorous activity
- Hx Vascular disease
- Hx Connective tissue disorder
- Morning stiffness >60 minutes
- Additional aches and pains
 - Joints or tendons
- Eye symptoms



Documentation of Red Flags by Physical Therapists for Patients with Low Back Pain

Pamela J. Leerar, PT, DHSc, OCS, COMPT
William Boissommault, PT, DHSc, FAAOMPT
Elizabeth Domholdt, PT, EdD, FAPTA
Toni Roddey, PT, PhD, OCS, FAAOMPT

The Journal of Manual & Manipulative Therapy
Vol. 15 No. 1 (2007), 42-49



TABLE 1. Red flags item description and rationale

Red Flag Item	Description	Rationale
Trauma	History of minor or major trauma, motor vehicle accident, fall, strenuous lifting	Possible fracture, especially in an older or osteoporotic patient
Age	50 years or more	Increased risk of cancer, abdominal aortic aneurysm, fracture, infection
History of cancer	Past or present history of any type of cancer	History of cancer increases the risk of cancer-causing low back pain. Back pain may be caused by metastatic tumors arising from the kidney, thyroid, prostate, breast, lung
Fever, chills, night sweats	Fever over 100 degrees Fahrenheit, a sensation of being cold, waking up sweating, temperature changes at night	Constitutional symptoms may increase the risk of infection or cancer
Weight loss	Unexplained weight loss of over 10 pounds in 3 months, not directly related to a change in activity or diet	May be indicative of infection or cancer
Recent infection	Recent bacterial infection such as a urinary tract infection	Increases the risk of infection

**TABLE 1. Red flags item description and rationale**

Red Flag Item	Description	Rationale
Immunosuppression	Immunosuppression resulting from a transplant, intravenous drug abuse, or prolonged steroid use	Increases the risk of infection
Rest/night pain	Pain that is not relieved with rest or awakens a patient at night, unrelated to movement or positioning	Increases the risk of cancer, infection, or an abdominal aortic aneurysm
Saddle anesthesia	Absence of sensation in the second-fifth sacral nerve roots, the perianal region	Cauda equina syndrome
Bladder dysfunction	Urinary retention, changes in frequency of urination, incontinence, dysuria, hematuria	May indicate cauda equina syndrome or infection
Lower extremity neurological deficit	Progressive or severe neurological deficit in the lower extremity	May indicate cauda equina syndrome





A systematic review identifies five “red flags” to screen for vertebral fracture in patients with low back pain

Nicholas Henschke*, Christopher G. Maher, Kathryn M. Refshauge

Back Pain Research Group, University of Sydney, PO Box 170, Lidcombe NSW 1585, Sydney, Australia

Accepted 20 April 2007

- > 5 clinical features that should alert clinician of possible vertebral fracture
 - > >50 yo
 - > Female
 - > Major Trauma
 - > Palpable Tenderness
 - > Distracting painful injury



ARTICLE IN PRESS
 Vol. 66, No. 10, October 2008, pp 997D-999D
 DOI 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.04.003
 © 2008, American College of Rheumatology

Prevalence of and Screening for Serious Spinal Pathology in Patients Presenting to Primary Care Settings With Acute Low Back Pain

Table 5. Diagnostic rule to identify vertebral fracture*

	Criteria for a positive test		
	1 positive feature	≥2 positive features	≥3 positive features
Sensitivity, %	88	67	38
Specificity, %	50	96	98
Positive LR (95% CI)	1.8 (1.1–2.8)	15.5 (7.2–24.8)	218.3 (45.6–883.8)
Posttest probability of vertebral fracture, %			
Posttest probability 0.5%	3	7	22
Posttest probability 3%	3	32	67

* Four features were included in the rule: female sex, age >70 years, significant trauma (major in young patients, minor in elderly patients), and prolonged use of corticosteroids. LR = Likelihood ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval.

Table 2. Prevalence of serious spinal pathology among the 1,172 patients with acute low back pain presenting to a primary care setting

Pathology	No. of cases of confirmed pathology	Prevalence (95% CI)*
Spinal fracture	8	0.7 (0.4–1.3)
Cancer	0	0.0 (0.0–0.3)
Infection	0	0.0 (0.0–0.3)
Osteia magna syndrome	1	0.1 (0.0–0.3)
Inflammatory disorder	2	0.2 (0.1–0.6)
Total	11	0.9 (0.5–1.7)

* 95% CI = 95% confidence interval.



[Diagnostic Test Accuracy Review]

Red flags to screen for vertebral fracture in patients presenting with low-back pain

2013



- Available evidence does not support the use of many “red flags” to help identify vertebral fractures in pts with LBP
 - Combining “red flags” helps to improve usefulness
 - Most useful were trauma, old age, corticosteroid use
- Reliance on subjective “red flags” may lead to excessive imaging leading to increased medical costs and unnecessary exposure to radiation



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Red Flags: Skeletal Metastases to the Spine

- Most common in CA of the:
 - Breast
 - Prostate
 - Lung
 - Kidney
 - Thyroid
- CA of breast
 - Metastasize in 47% to 85% of cases
 - Spine is most common site
 - Lumbar vertebral body specifically



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Red Flags: Skeletal Metastases to the Spine

- Clinical Features
 - Severe, incessant, pain
 - “Bone pain”
 - Other sources of pain
 - Nerve compression
 - Soft tissue involvement
 - Compression of viscera
 - Pathologic fracture

Condition	History and Physical Examination Data
Back-related tumor ^{RO,RA,IR}	Constant pain not affected by position or activity; worse with weight bearing, worse at night Age over 50 History of cancer Failure of conservative intervention (failure to improve within 30 days) Unexplained weight loss No relief with bed-rest



Eur Spine J (2007) 16:1673–1679
 DOI 10.1007/s00386-007-0412-0

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Screening for malignancy in low back pain patients: a systematic review

Nicholas Henschke · Christopher G. Maher · Kathryn M. Refshauge

In conclusion malignancy is rare in low back patients. The most informative tests to screen for malignancy are a previous history of cancer, overall clinician judgement, elevated ESR, and reduced hematocrit. Popular red flags such as unexplained weight loss, age >50, and failure to improve after 1 month have only modest predictive ability and on their own are not useful to screen for cancer.



[Diagnostic Test Accuracy Review]

Red flags to screen for malignancy in patients with low-back pain

2013



Red flags to screen for malignancy and fracture in patients with low back pain: systematic review

BMJ 2013;347:



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Red Flags: Cauda Equina Syndrome

- Due to massive protrusion, herniation or mass, trauma (manipulation, lumbar puncture etc...)
- Signs and symptoms
 - Mild or severe back pain
 - Bilateral leg pain
 - Multi-segmental weakness, sensory loss, hyporeflexia
 - Saddle paresthesia
 - Bowel and/or bladder dysfunction
 - Sexual Dysfunction

Condition	History and Physical Examination Data	Sensitivity	Specificity	+LR (95% CI)	-LR (95% CI)
Cauda equina syndrome**	Back pain	0.85	0.95	8.2	0.0
	Radicular pain	—	—	—	—
	Saddle paresthesia	0.75	—	—	—
	Weakness or motor deficits in the feet (L4, L5, S1 areas)	0.80	—	—	—



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Red Flags: Vascular Pain


- Vascular insufficiency or disease can refer to the back or present like referred or radicular pain from the lumbar spine into the lower extremity
 - Abdominal Aortic Aneurism (AAA) refers pain to lumbar spine
 - Risk factors for AAA
 - Cigarette smokers, men>women, >60yo, diabetes, arteriosclerosis, Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome, Marfans Syndrome
 - Intermittent Claudication
 - Activity increases pain, relieved with rest
 - Differentially diagnosed from stenosis with bike or inclined t-mill test



Red Flags: Spondyloarthropathies

Spondyloarthropathy	Disease Manifestation	Distribution of Arthritis	Extra-Articular Manifestations
Psoriatic arthritis	Psoriasis	Any small or large joint, including DIP joints , sacroiliitis common	Psoriatic pitting of nails common; eye inflammation
Arthritis of inflammatory bowel disease (enteropathic arthritis)	Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis	Peripheral oligoarthritis, usually knees, ankles Unilateral sacroiliitis to extensive spondylitis	Eye inflammation, mouth ulcers, skin ulcers (pyoderma gangrenosum)
Reactive arthritis (including Reiter syndrome)	After urethritis or dysentery	Sacroiliitis, peripheral oligoarthritis predominantly of large joints of lower extremities, Achilles tendonitis	Eye inflammation urethritis, mouth ulcers, rash, penile rash
Ankylosing spondylitis	Primary spinal arthritis	Spinal and pelvic articulations and enthuse, including hips; occasional varying peripheral arthritis	Eye inflammation, aortitis with aortic murmur; lung fibrosis





Flag	Nature	Examples
Red	Signs of serious pathology	Cauda equina syndrome, fracture, tumor
Orange	Psychiatric symptoms	Clinical depression, personality disorder
Yellow	Beliefs, appraisals, and judgments	Unhelpful beliefs about pain: indication of injury as uncontrollable or likely to worsen Expectations of poor treatment outcome, delayed return to work
	Emotional responses	Distress not meeting criteria for diagnosis of mental disorder Worry, fears, anxiety
	Pain behavior (including pain coping strategies)	Avoidance of activities due to expectations of pain and possible reinjury Over-reliance on passive treatments (hot packs, cold packs, analgesics)
Blue	Perceptions about the relationship between work and health	Belief that work is too onerous and likely to cause further injury Belief that workplace supervisor and workmates are unsupportive
Black	System or contextual obstacles	Legislation restricting options for return to work Conflict with insurance staff over injury claim Overly solicitous family and health care providers Heavy work, with little opportunity to modify duties



Yellow Flags

Attitudes and Beliefs	Behaviors
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Belief that pain is harmful or disabling resulting in guarding and fear of movement. - Belief that all pain must be abolished before returning to activity - Expectation of increased pain with activity or work, lack of ability to predict capabilities - Catastrophizing, expecting the worst - Belief that pain is uncontrollable - Passive attitude to rehabilitation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use of extended rest - Reduced activity level with significant withdrawal from daily activities - Avoidance of normal activity and progressive substitution of lifestyle away from productive activity - Reports of extremely high pain intensity - Excessive reliance on aids (braces, crutches, etc.) - Sleep quality reduced following the onset of back pain - High intake of alcohol or other substances with an increase since the onset of back pain - Smoking



STarT Back Tool

Thinking about the last 2 weeks tick your response to the following questions:

	Disagree 4	Agree 5
1 My back pain has spread down my leg(s) at some time in the last 2 weeks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2 I have had pain in the shoulder or neck at some time in the last 2 weeks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3 I have only walked short distances because of my back pain	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 In the last 2 weeks, I have dressed more slowly than usual because of back pain	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5 It's not really safe for a person with a condition like mine to be physically active	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6 Worrying thoughts have been going through my mind a lot of the time	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7 I feel that my back pain is terrible and it's never going to get any better	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8 In general I have not enjoyed all the things I used to enjoy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. Overall, how **bothersome** has your back pain been in the last 2 weeks?


Not at all 1	Slightly 2	Moderately 3	Very much 4	Extremely 5
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Total score (all 9): _____ Sub Score (Q5-9): _____



STarT Back Tool

The STarT Back Tool Scoring System



Effect of Stratified Care for Low Back Pain in Family Practice (IMPACT Back): A Prospective Population-Based Sequential Comparison

ANNALS OF FAMILY MEDICINE • WWW.ANNFAMMED.ORG • VOL. 12, NO. 2 • MARCH/APRIL 2014

- 3 Phases of the study
 - Phase 1 Usual Care
 - Phase 2 Implementation of Stratification Screening
 - Phase 3 Stratified Care
 - Low risk = advice, education and self management
 - Medium and high risk = physical therapy



Effect of Stratified Care for Low Back Pain in Family Practice (IMPACT Back): A Prospective Population-Based Sequential Comparison

ANNALS OF FAMILY MEDICINE • WWW.ANNFAMMED.ORG • VOL. 12, NO. 2 • MARCH/APRIL 2014

- Phase 1
 - 40% of medium and high risk referred to PT
- Phase 3
 - 72% of medium and high risk referred to PT
- Decreased costs, disability, time off work, medication usage



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Fear Avoidance Belief Questionnaire (FABQ)

- Comprised of 2 subscales:
 - 5-item scale related to fear-avoidance beliefs about physical activity
 - 11-item scale related to beliefs about work
- Valid and reliable in a chronic LBP population
- Clinical usefulness
 - Screening tool for identifying acute LBP patients who will not return to work by 4 weeks




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Treatment-Based Classification System for Low Back Pain: Revision and Update

Physical Therapy Volume 96 Number 7




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

SUBJECTIVE EXAM

Subjective "Asterisks" Signs/Symptoms: (Aggravating/Easing factors, Description/location of symptoms, Behavior, Mechanism of injury):

- 28yo female onset of right lower back and buttock pain/post thigh pain
- 2 weeks ago Immediate back pain while lifting her 2 year old off the floor. Buttock/thigh pain followed the next day
- LBP and buttock/thigh is an ache which is continuous
- Aggs: sitting, long periods of standing, lifting especially her child
- Eases: walking, lying down
- Pain is activity and positional dependent, occasionally awakes her at night, seems to worsen through the day



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

STRUCTURE at Fault:

Joints in/refer to the painful region	Myofascial tissue in/refer to the painful region	Non Contractile tissue in/refer to the painful region	Neural tissue in/refer to the painful region	Other structures that must be examined – non MSK
L4-S1 facets SIJ Hip	Lumbar multifidus Glute med/min, maxc Piriformis, hamstrings	L4-S1 disc Iliolumbar ligament Pelvis/Sacrum	L4-S1 nerve roots	Visceral? Spondyloarthropathy? Mass?

Primary HYPOTHESIS after Subjective Examination: L4-5/5-S1 disc pathology


Differential List (Rank/List in order to rule out):

- L4-S1 facet
- SIJ
- Hip Pathology



Discogenic Pain

- Rim lesion: tears to the outer layer of the annulus
- Circumferential tears: rupture of annular fibers between lamellae
- Radial tears: Fissures from nucleus to outer annular fibers
- Irritation of free nerve endings lead to referral to buttock and posterior thigh
 - Referral down the leg with dural tissue involvement



Lumbar Disc – Clinical Characteristics

- Protrusion/Prolapse/Annular tear
 - Young adult with history of a back injury
 - Pain in the back with possible referral to buttock and posterior thigh
 - Lateral deviation of the spine
 - Deviate away with posterolateral protrusion
 - Deviate towards with posteromedial protrusion
 - May or may not have neurological symptoms or radicular pain
 - Decreased WB through symptomatic LE if dural involvement
 - Aggravating factors include flexion postures and weight bearing postures



Lumbar Disc – Clinical Characteristics

- Extruded/Sequestered
 - Moderate to severe back and leg pain
 - Leg pain often worse than back pain
 - Lateral deviation with decreased weight bearing through symptomatic LE
 - Limited trunk movement
 - Radicular pain and radiculopathy likely



Lumbar Disc Pathology


Mechanism

- Typical position of injury is forward bent under load with some rotation
 - Forward bent position pushes annulus posterior and stresses posterior annulus
 - Load increases compression and increases stress on annulus
 - Rotation stresses 1/2 annular fibers that are already fully on tension



Lumbar ROM


- Function During Forward Bending
 - Anterior annulus is compressed and posterior annulus is placed on tension
 - NP is pushed posteriorly
 - If another force is applied in forward bending, most of that force is directed to posterior annulus



Lumbar Anatomy


Intervertebral Disc

- Function During Rotation
 - Rotation is resisted by 1/2 of the annular fibers (lamellae) depending on their fiber direction
 - Since only 1/2 of the fibers are able to resist the movement, rotation is a motion responsible for injury



Lumbar Anatomy

Intervertebral Disc




Comparative Loads on the Lumbar Discs



Lumbar Anatomy

Intervertebral Disc



Comparative Loads on the Lumbar Discs




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Facet Joint Pain

- Joint surface or restraining tissues being strained (capsule/ligaments)
 - Innervated by medial branch of the dorsal rami
- Irritation leads to local back pain and referred pain
 - Typically referred into the buttock and posterior thigh
 - Referral down the leg if stimulus is strong enough




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Original article

The lumbar multifidus muscle and patterns of pain

Manual Therapy 11 (2006) 40-45




Orthopaedic Manual Phys

www.vompti.com

Muscular Pain

- Multifidus
- Erector spinae



Travell & Simons




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com


Muscular Pain

- Glute max
- Glute medius




Muscular Pain

- Glute Minimus



Muscular Pain

- Iliopsoas



Lumbar Objective Examination

- Observation/Postural Assessment/Functional Testing
- Lumbar AROM/PROM/Resisted Testing
 - Quadrants
- SIJ Screening
- Neurological Testing
 - Segmental
 - Central
- Neurodynamic Testing
- Provocation Testing
 - PA, Compression, torsion



Observation/Postural Assessment

- Observe in weight bearing and non-weight bearing and in multiple planes
 - Weight bearing
 - even/uneven
 - LE position
 - Knee flexed, hip ER?
 - Spinal alignment
 - Scoliosis
 - Lordosis/Kyphosis
 - Shifting
 - Deviation
 - Creases
 - Iliac crest height
 - Scars (injury, previous surgery)
 - Muscle tone (atrophy, spasm)



(+) Shift
Trunk to Left



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar Objective Examination

- Observation/Postural Assessment/Functional Testing
- Lumbar AROM/PROM/Resisted Testing
 - Quadrants
- SIJ Screening
- Neurological Testing
 - Segmental
 - Central
- Neurodynamic Testing
- Provocation Testing
 - PA, Compression, torsion



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar AROM Assessment

- Active Motion: assesses the patient's willingness to move and their perception of acuteness.
 - Is the motion limited in a capsular pattern (extension, SB & rotation limited) or non-capsular pattern?
 - Flexion
 - Extension
 - Side bending
 - Seated rotation



Lumbar AROM Assessment

- Active Motion
 - Observe for aberrant motion
 - Does the movement reproduce “their pain”
 - Measurements??



Lumbar PROM Assessment

- Passive Motion: passive over-pressure at the end of each active motion to assess end feel.
 - Pain experienced prior, at or after resistance helps to determine acuity




Lumbar Resisted Testing

- Resisted Testing: tests muscles in lengthened position (if no pain with overpressure) otherwise test in neutral.
 - Graded as painful/painless, weak/strong.



Variations of lumbopelvic rhythms during trunk flexion: A kinematic analysis



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar ROM


- Flexion
 - Pt asked to bend forwards
 - Lordotic or flatness at L4-S1 at end of motion is normal except in dancers or gymnasts
 - Over-press at the end of motion by stabilizing the sacrum
 - End feel assessed
 - Isometric resistance assesses lumbar extensors



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019


www.vompti.com

Lumbopelvic rhythm during trunk extension: A Muscular analysis



Lumbar ROM

- Function During Forward Bending
 - Anterior annulus is compressed and posterior annulus is placed on tension
 - NP is pushed posteriorly
 - If another force is applied in forward bending, most of that force is directed to posterior annulus



Lumbar ROM

- Extension
 - Pt asked to bend backwards
 - Overpressure with caution
 - No resistance




Lumbar ROM

- Function During Backward Bending
 - Posterior annulus is compressed and anterior annulus is placed on tension
 - NP is pushed anteriorly

(-)

REIS (+) for
centralization



Lumbar ROM

L SB (+)

- Side Bending
 - Pt asked to bend side ways
 - Compare quantity and quality of movements to other side bend
 - Over-press at the end of motion by stabilizing the iliac crest
 - End feel assessed
 - Isometric resistance assesses contralateral SB's



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar ROM – Pelvic Translocation



- Passive shift correction (overcorrection?)
- With ROM?
- Centralize/Peripheralize
- Relates to HEP



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar Objective Examination

- Observation/Postural Assessment/Functional Testing
- Lumbar AROM/PROM/Resisted Testing
 - Quadrants and H & I Testing
- SIJ Screening
- Neurological Testing
 - Segmental
 - Central
- Neurodynamic Testing
- Provocation Testing
 - PA, Compression, torsion



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.elsevier.com/locate/jmpt

SCIENCE @ DIRECT[®]

Manual Therapy 33 (2015) 207–218

MANUAL
THERAPY

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmpt

Original article

Diagnosis of Sacroiliac Joint Pain: Validity of individual provocation tests and composites of tests

Mark Laslett^{a,*}, Charles N. Aprill^b, Barry McDonald^c, Sharon B. Young^d

- 6 SIJ tests
 - Distraction, Compression, Thigh Thrust, Gaenslen's left/right, Sacral Thrust
 - ****6 negative tests can rule out SIJ as source of pain****



Evidence-Based Diagnosis and Treatment of the Painful Sacroiliac Joint

MARK LASLETT, FNZCP, PhD, Dip MT, Dip MDT

THE JOURNAL OF MANUAL & MANIPULATIVE THERAPY ■ VOLUME 16 ■ NUMBER 3

TABLE 1. Comparison between Laslett M et al⁵¹ and van der Wurff et al²⁰ studies of the validity of multiples of positive pain provocation SIJ tests.

Diagnostic accuracy statistic	Number of positive provocation SIJ tests									
	1 or more		2 or more		3 or more		4 or more		5 or more	
	ML	PvW	ML	PvW	ML	PvW	ML	PvW	ML	PvW
Sensitivity %	100	100	93	93	91	85	60	26	27	0
Specificity %	44	42	66	58	78	79	81	82	88	100
Positive LR	1.8	1.7	2.7	2.2	4.3	4.0	3.2	1.4	2.1	0
Negative LR	0.0	0.0	0.10	0.13	0.08	0.19	0.49	0.91	0.84	1.00

Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019 **** 3 or More +, Rule In**** www.vompti.com

(-)



Fig. 1. Clinician performs SIJ test.



Fig. 2. High knee SIJ maneuver on side.



Fig. 3. Back SIJ test on SIJ test.



Fig. 4. Back SIJ test on SIJ test on SIJ test.



Fig. 5. Clinician performs SIJ test.



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019 www.vompti.com

Lumbar Objective Examination

- Observation/Postural Assessment/Functional Testing
- Lumbar AROM/PROM/Resisted Testing
 - Quadrants
- SIJ Screening
- Neurological Testing
 - Segmental
 - Central
- Neurodynamic Testing
- Provocation Testing
 - PA, Compression, torsion



Lumbar Neurological Testing

- Key Muscle Testing: muscles predominately innervated by 1 nerve root. A maximal contraction is forced and if weakness is felt the test is repeated several times to test fatigueability. Myelopathy will lead to multi-segmental fatigueable weakness, radiculopathy will lead to segmental fatigueable weakness, neuropathy will lead to fatigueable weakness in the muscles innervated by that nerve.
 - L2: Psoas (hip flexion)
 - L3: Quad (knee extension), Hip Adductors
 - L4: Tib ant (ankle DF), Tib post (ankle inversion)
 - L5: EHL (big toe extension), glute med (hip abduction)
 - L5/S1: Peroneals (ankle eversion)
 - S1: Gastroc (heel raises)
 - S2: Hamstrings (knee flexion), Glute max (hip extension)



Lumbar Neurological Testing

- Sensation: tested with light touch and followed with sharp touch if light touch is positive. A radiculopathy will cause segmental anesthesia or hypoesthesia, a myelopathy will cause multi-segmental paresthesia or hypoesthesia, a neuropathy will cause anesthesia in a cutaneous distribution.
 - Light touch performed down the entire leg with a dab of tissue paper
 - Sharp touch performed in dermatomal or peripheral nerve pattern where light touch was positive



Lumbar Neurological Testing

- Reflexes: hypo-reflexia is due to lower motor neuron lesion, hyper-reflexia is due to upper motor neuron lesion.
 - L3: Patella tendon or hip adductors
 - L4: Tibialis posterior or anterior
 - L5: Medial hamstrings, peroneals or EDB muscle belly
 - S1/2: Achilles or lateral hamstrings

(-)



Lumbar Neurological Testing

- Upper Motor Neuron Test
 - Clonus
 - Pt supine, give rapid push into ankle DF
 - 1-2 beats is normal
 - 3-4 beats is positive
 - Babinski
 - Make an “L” shape up the bottom of the foot with end of reflex hammer
 - Toe flexion is normal
 - Toe extension and flare is positive
 - Hoffman's
 - Pt's nail of the 3rd digit is “flicked”
 - IP flexion of the thumb is positive



Lumbar Objective Examination

- Observation/Postural Assessment/Functional Testing
- Lumbar AROM/PROM/Resisted Testing
 - Quadrants
- SIJ Screening
- Neurological Testing
 - Segmental
 - Central
- Neurodynamic Testing
- Provocation Testing
 - PA, Compression, torsion



Lumbar Neurodynamic Testing

- Slump
- Long-Sit/Sympathetic Slump
- Straight Leg Raise
 - Peripheral Nerve Bias
- Prone Knee Bend



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Slump Test

(+)



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Straight Leg Raise (+)



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Prone Knee Bend Test/Femoral Nerve Test/Ely's Test



(-)



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

AGREEMENT AND CORRELATION BETWEEN THE STRAIGHT LEG RAISE AND SLUMP TESTS IN SUBJECTS WITH LEG PAIN

Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics
Volume 32, Number 3

Jeremy Walsh, M^{PT}ManipTher,^{*} and Toby Hall, MSc[†] =

- Substantial agreement b/w SLR and Slump ($K = 0.69$)
 - ROM in both significantly reduced vs opp side
- Appropriate test of mechanosensitivity for neural tissue



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

The Sensitivity and Specificity of the Slump and the Straight Leg Raising Tests in Patients With Lumbar Disc Herniation

JCR: *Journal of Clinical Rheumatology* • Volume 14, Number 2, April 2008

Javid Majlesi, MD,^{*} Halil Togay, MD,[†] Halil Unalan, MD,[‡] and Sadik Toprak, MD[§]

- Slump
 - Sensitivity = 0.84
 - Specificity = 0.83
- SLR
 - Sensitivity = 0.52
 - Specificity = 0.89
- Slump used more to R/O
- SLR may especially help ID pts who have herniations with root compression requiring surgery



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

The Sensitivity of the Seated Straight-Leg Raise Test Compared With the Supine Straight-Leg Raise Test in Patients Presenting With Magnetic Resonance Imaging Evidence of Lumbar Nerve Root Compression

Arch Phys Med Rehabil Vol 88, July 2007

Alon Rabin, DPT, MS, Peter C. Gerszten, MD, MPH, Pat Karausky, RN, BSN, Clareann H. Bunker, PhD, Douglas M. Potter, PhD, William C. Welch, MD

- Sensitivity:
 - Supine SLR 0.67
 - Seated SLR 0.41



- Traditional testing in supine is more sensitive in reproducing leg pain than the seated SLR in patients presenting with s/s of lumbar radiculopathy and (+) MRI



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Upper Limb Neural Tension and Seated Slump Tests: The False Positive Rate among Healthy Young Adults without Cervical or Lumbar Symptoms

D. SCOTT DAVIS, PT, EdD, OCS*, IFA BETH ANDERSON, MPT*, MARY GRACE CARSON, MPT*, CAROLINE L. ELKINS, MPT*, LINDSEY B. STUCKEY, MPT*

THE JOURNAL OF MANUAL & MANIPULATIVE THERAPY ■ VOLUME 16 ■ NUMBER 3

- 28/84 asymptomatic had (+) SST at some point in the available range of knee extension
 - Relief of peripheral neural symptoms with cervical extension
- Mean knee extension angle for (+) was 15.1
- Authors suggest that criteria be determined for (+) test using ROM cut-off scores



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar Objective Examination

- Observation/Postural Assessment/Functional Testing
- Lumbar AROM/PROM/Resisted Testing
 - Quadrants
- SIJ Screening
- Neurological Testing
 - Segmental
 - Central
- Neurodynamic Testing
- Provocation Testing
 - PA, Compression, torsion



Provocation Testing: Heel Drop Test

- Compression: may identify disc lesions, end plate or vertebral body fractures, by increasing intra-vertebral or intra-discal pressure.
- Pt standing
 - Patient asked to raise up on their toes and drop down quickly onto their heels
 - The test will add compression and vibration to the spine
 - Positive test is reproduction of the pt's pain

(-)



Provocation Testing: Torsion Test

- Torsion Test: Tests the lumbar spine's ability to tolerate torsional stress
 - May identify fractures, annular tears, joint capsule inflammation through rotation of the spine from below
- Therapist stands to one side of the bed and stabilizes lower T-spine with cranial hand
- Therapist caudal hand grasps opposite ilium and pulls superior



(+)



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Provocation Testing: PA Shear Testing


(+)

- P/A Shearing: Tests the ability of the lumbar spine to tolerate shear stress
 - Testing for segment inflammation, mobility or “instability”
 - Helps to localize segmental dysfunction
 - Pain provoking
 - Neutral Zone assessment
- Central
- Unilateral



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

Contours of the Spine




Physical Exam *Asterisks* Signs/Symptoms (Special tests, Movement/Joint Dysfunction, Posture, Palpation, etc)

- Observation: Slight lateral shift to the left
- Lumbar ROM: P! lumbar flexion, lumbar L SB limited and P! reproducing LBP, Repeated extension centralized LBP
- (+) Torsion L4-5
- (+) SLR and slump reproducing buttock and posterior thigh pain
- (-) hip and SI clearing
- (+) PA right L4-5 P!
- Oswestry Disability Index = 38% perceived disability




> Are the relationships between the areas on the body chart, the interview, and physical exam consistent? "Do the features fit" a recognizable clinical pattern? **Yes** No

Lumbar disc pathology (annular tear/bulge) with dural irritation



Phases of Degeneration



Beazell et al.

➤ **What is your primary treatment Objective after initial evaluation?**

- **Education:** **PT diagnosis and prognosis, addressing patient goals, questions and concerns, treatment expectations**
- **Manual Therapy:** (Specific Technique)
PA mobilizations L4-5, manual distraction, nerve gliding
- **Exercise Prescription:** (Specific)
Repeated movements, lateral shifting correction, squatting maintaining lordosis, extension biased exercises, progressing from NWB to standing to sitting

What are you going to re assess at subsequent visit?_ **ROM, provocation testing, neurodynamics**



The Therapeutic Alliance Between Clinicians and Patients Predicts Outcome in Chronic Low Back Pain

Physical Therapy Volume 93 Number 4 April 2013


- 3 components of therapeutic alliance
 - PT /pt agreement on goals
 - PT/pt agreement on interventions
 - PT/pt affective bond
- Higher levels of therapeutic alliance was associated with better clinical outcomes with pts with LBP



Lumbar MR Imaging and Reporting Epidemiology: Do Epidemiologic Data in Reports Affect Clinical Management?¹

McCullough et al, Radiology 2012

- Prevalence of MRI findings in people without back pain:
 - Disc degeneration 91%
 - Disc height loss 56%
 - Disc bulges 64%
 - Disc protrusion 32%
 - Annular Tears 38%



Radiological imaging for LBP results in:
- poorer health outcomes
- poor perceived prognosis
- more likely to have surgery (Sloan & Walsh 2010)

mpti.com

How Do We Communicate This?

Jarvick, 2005, Spine

- The strongest predictor for LBP was depression not MRI findings (2.3x)
- Annular tears, disc degeneration and facet joint arthrosis did not predict LBP
- No relationship between MRI findings and pain/disability
- Our language: Hurtful or Helpful?

Stuart McGill PhD showed that the lumbar disc material can herniate through the outer layer (annulus fibrosus) in as little as 20,000 repetitions of forward flexion or twisting through the lumbar spine. *It is unlikely to cause a disc herniation if you bend backwards or sideways. Stooping, sitting, and bending at the waist are causes of disc herniation and subsequently back or leg pain.*

Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2020

www.vompti.com

➤ What is your primary treatment Objective after initial evaluation?

- Education: **PT diagnosis and prognosis, addressing patient goals, questions and concerns, treatment expectations**
- Manual Therapy: (Specific technique)
PA mobilizations L4-5, manual distraction, nerve gliding
- Exercise Prescription: (Specific)
Repeated movements, lateral shifting correction, squatting maintaining lordosis, extension biased exercises, progressing from NWB to standing to sitting



What are you going to re assess at subsequent visit? ... **ROM, provocation testing, neurodynamics**



Classification Begins (1995)

Anthony DeLitto
Richard E Erhard
Richard W Bowling

Physical Therapy / Volume 75, Number 6 / June 1995




Clinical Perspective

A Treatment-Based Classification Approach to Low Back Syndrome: Identifying and Staging Patients for Conservative Treatment

Physical Therapy / Volume 75, Number 6 / June 1995


Anthony Delitto
Richard E. Erhard
Richard W. Bowling



Identifying Subgroups of Patients With Acute/Subacute “Nonspecific” Low Back Pain

SPINE Volume 31, Number 6, pp 623-631
©2006, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

Results of a Randomized Clinical Trial



MANIPULATION		STABILIZATION		SPECIFIC EXERCISE	
Factors favoring	Factors against	Factors favoring	Factors against	Factors favoring	Factors against
Most recent onset of symptoms	Symptoms below the knee	Younger age	Discrepancy in SLR ROM (>10°)	Strong preference for sitting or walking	Low back pain only (no distal symptoms)
Segmental hypermobility	Increasing episode frequency	Positive prone instability test	Low FMQD score (FABQPA < 3)	Centralization with motion testing	Stable Clin with all movements
LBP only (no distal symptoms)	Perchwalkout with motion testing	Greater SLR ROM	Hypermobility with spring testing	Peripheralization in gradual opposite mobilization	
Low-RASD scores (FABQD < 15)	No pain with mobility testing	Increasing episode frequency	2 or more microspasms		

Brennan et al 2006



Classification of ACUTE/Sub-Acute Low Back Pain

[CLINICAL COMMENTARY]

JULIE M. FRITZ, PT, PhD, ATC¹ • JOSHUA A. CLELAND, PT, PhD, OCS, FAAOMPT² • JOHN D. CHILDS, PT, PhD, MBA, OCS, FAAOMPT³

Subgrouping Patients With Low Back Pain: Evolution of a Classification Approach to Physical Therapy



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019


www.vompti.com

TABLE 1		
SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED AS THE CRITERIA FOR PLACING A PATIENT INTO A PARTICULAR CLASSIFICATION AND REVISED CRITERIA BASED ON UPDATED EVIDENCE		
Classification	Original Classification Criteria	Updated Classification Criteria
Manipulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Asymmetrical lateral flexion ROM (ie, capsular pattern of motion restriction) Unilateral LBP without symptoms into the lower extremities Asymmetrical bony landmarks of the pelvis Positive sacroiliac dysfunction tests (ie, sacral rock sit test, prone knee bend test, standing flexion test) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No symptoms distal to the knee Recent onset of symptoms (<15 d) Low FABQ score (<19) Hypermobility of the lumbar spine Ng internal rotation ROM (>35° for at least 1 day)
Stabilization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent recurrent episodes of LBP with minimal perturbation Hypermobility of the lumbar spine Previous history of lateral-shift deformity with alternating sides Frequent prior use of manipulation with dramatic but short-term results Trauma, pregnancy, or use of oral contraceptives Relief with immobilization (eg, taping) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Younger age (<40 y) Greater general flexibility (postpartum, average SLR ROM >90°) "Instability catch" or aberrant movements during lumbar flexion/extension ROM Positive findings for the prone instability test For patients who are postpartum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Positive postpartum pelvic pain provocation (PH) and ASLR and modified Trendelenburg tests Pain provocation with palpation of the long dorsal sacroiliac ligament or pubic symphysis
Specific exercise		
Extension	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptoms centralize with lumbar extension Symptoms peripheralize with lumbar flexion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptoms distal to the buttock Symptoms centralize with lumbar extension Symptoms peripheralize with lumbar flexion Directional preference for extension
Flexion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptoms centralize with lumbar flexion Symptoms peripheralize with lumbar extension Diagnosis of lumbar spinal stenosis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Older age (>50 y) Directional preference for flexion Imaging evidence of lumbar spinal stenosis
Lateral shift	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible frontal plane deviation of the shoulders relative to the pelvis Asymmetrical side-bending active ROM Painful and restricted extension active ROM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible frontal plane deviation of the shoulders relative to the pelvis Directional preference for lateral translation movements of the pelvis
Traction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signs and symptoms of nerve root compression No movements centralize symptoms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signs and symptoms of nerve root compression No movements centralize symptoms
<small>All abbreviations: ASLR, active straight-leg raise; FABQ, Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire; Wink, Winkler; LBP, low back pain; ROM, range of motion; SLR, straight-leg raise.</small>		



com

What Was Classification Missing?



Brennan et al 2006



MANIPULATION		STABILIZATION		SPECIFIC EXERCISE	
Factors favoring	Factors against	Factors favoring	Factors against	Factors favoring	Factors against
Most recent onset of symptoms	Symptoms below the knee	Younger age	Chronicity > SLR ROM (>10°)	Strong preference for sitting or walking	Low back pain only (no distal symptoms)
Segmental hypermobility	Increasing episode frequency	Positive prone instability test	Low FABQ scores (FABQPA < 8)	Centralization with motion testing	Stable Clus with all movements
LBP only (no distal symptoms)	Peripheralization with motion testing	Absent motions present			
Low FABQ scores (FABQW < 15)	No pain with mobility testing	Greater SLR ROM			
		Hypermobility with spring testing			
		Increasing episode frequency			
		3 or more prior episodes			



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019


www.vompti.com

Classify This?




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

CHRONIC Low Back Pain Diagnosis



Persistent Back Pain Model Borrowed from O'Sullivan





Masterclass

Diagnosis and classification of chronic low back pain disorders: Maladaptive movement and motor control impairments as underlying mechanism

Manual Therapy 10 (2005) 242–255



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

(A) Movement impairment classification

Nature and mechanism of pain:

Localised pain +/- referral
Severe pain of rapid onset
Movement impairment in direction of pain
Hyper-awareness of pain
Exaggerated reflex withdrawal motor response
Muscle guarding and abnormal tissue loading (↑spinal stability)

Avoidance of movement into painful range
Disability

Directional (flexion, extension, rotation, lateral shift, loading)
Multi-directional

Result: Peripheral pain sensitisation

Anxiety related to movement pain
Fear avoidance when moving in direction of pain (pathological)
Hyper-vigilance
Belief that pain is damaging (pathological)

Result: Central pain sensitisation

Normalisation of movement impairment leads to resolution / control of disorder

(B) Control impairment classification

Nature and mechanism of pain:

Localised pain +/- referral
Gradual onset of pain from repeated or sustained strain

No impaired movement in direction of pain

Lack of awareness of pain triggers
Poor lumbo-pelvic position sense
Absence of reflex withdrawal motor response
Ongoing tissue strain (↑or↓ spinal stability)

Provocation into painful range

Avoidance of painful activity


Disability
Directional (flexion, extension, rotation, lateral shift, loading)
Multi-directional

Result: Peripheral pain sensitisation

Anxiety related to chronic disabling pain
Fear of activity (non-pathological)
Lack of control and awareness of disorder
Belief that activity is damaging (non-pathological)

Result: Central pain sensitisation

Normalisation of control impairment leads to resolution / control of disorder



“Pain” Subgroup? Fear and Catastrophization


- Well established that cognitions and pain are inter-related
- Patient’s beliefs
 - What do they KNOW about their pain?
 - What do they WANT TO KNOW about their pain?
 - What do YOU WANT them to know about their pain?
- Qualitative studies show patients like this want answers to the following:
 - What is wrong with me?
 - How long will it take?
 - What can I (the patient) do?
 - What can you (the PT) do?
 - How much will it cost me?
- To treat patients like this we much change cognitions, belief and fear, before engaging a movement-based approach of therapeutic exercise, manual therapy, pacing and graded exposure
- This cognitive restructuring is done via TNE
 - Therapeutic Neuroscience Education
- Pain is a multiple system OUTPUT activated by the brain based on perceived threat (Moseley)

**“What we think,
we become.”**
Buddha



Treatment-Based Classification System for Low Back Pain: Revision and Update

Physical Therapy Volume 96 Number 7




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com


Treatment-Based Classification System for Low Back Pain: Revision and Update

Physical Therapy Volume 96 Number 7

Symptom Modulation



Movement Control



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

What About Classification?

[CLINICAL COMMENTARY]

JULIE M. FRITZ, PT, PhD, ATC* • JOSHUA A. CLELAND, PT, PhD, OCS, FAAOMPT† • JOHN D. CHILDS, PT, PhD, MBA, OCS, FAAOMPT‡

Subgrouping Patients With Low Back Pain: Evolution of a Classification Approach to Physical Therapy

| JUNE 2007 | VOLUME 37 | NUMBER 6 | JOURNAL OF ORTHOPAEDIC & SPORTS PHYSICAL THERAPY



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019


www.vompti.com

TABLE 1		
SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED AS THE CRITERIA FOR PLACING A PATIENT INTO A PARTICULAR CLASSIFICATION AND REVISED CRITERIA BASED ON UPDATED EVIDENCE		
Classification	Original Classification Criteria	Updated Classification Criteria
Manipulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Asymmetrical lateral flexion ROM (ie, capsular pattern of motion restriction) Unilateral LBP without symptoms into the lower extremities Asymmetrical bony landmarks of the pelvis Positive sacroiliac dysfunction tests (ie, sodium ion sit test, prone knee bend test, standing flexion test) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No symptoms distal to the knee Recent onset of symptoms (<15 d) Low FABQ score (<19) Hypermobility of the lumbar spine Ng internal rotation ROM (>35° for at least 1 hip)
Stabilization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent recurrent episodes of LBP with minimal perturbation Hypermobility of the lumbar spine Previous history of lateral-shift deformity with alternating sides Frequent prior use of manipulation with dramatic but short-term results Trauma, pregnancy, or use of oral contraceptives Relief with immobilization (eg, taping) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Younger age (<40 y) Greater general flexibility (postpartum, average SLR ROM >90°) "Instability catch" or aberrant movements during lumbar flexion/extension ROM Positive findings for the prone instability test For patients who are postpartum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Positive postpartum pelvic pain provocation (PH) and ASLR and modified Trendelenburg tests Pain provocation with palpation of the long dorsal sacroiliac ligament or pubic symphysis
Specific exercise		
Extension	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptoms centralize with lumbar extension Symptoms peripheralize with lumbar flexion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptoms distal to the buttock Symptoms centralize with lumbar extension Symptoms peripheralize with lumbar flexion Directional preference for extension
Flexion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptoms centralize with lumbar flexion Symptoms peripheralize with lumbar extension Diagnosis of lumbar spinal stenosis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Older age (>50 y) Directional preference for flexion Imaging evidence of lumbar spinal stenosis
Lateral shift	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible frontal plane deviation of the shoulders relative to the pelvis Asymmetrical side-bending active ROM Painful and restricted extension active ROM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visible frontal plane deviation of the shoulders relative to the pelvis Directional preference for lateral translation movements of the pelvis
Traction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signs and symptoms of nerve root compression No movements centralize symptoms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signs and symptoms of nerve root compression No movements centralize symptoms



All abbreviations: ASLR, active straight-leg raise; FABQ, Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire; Wink, Winkler; LBP, low back pain; ROM, range of motion; SLR, straight-leg raise.

com



Comparison of Classification-Based Physical Therapy With Therapy Based on Clinical Practice Guidelines for Patients with Acute Low Back Pain

A Randomized Clinical Trial

Key Points

- Patients with acute, work-related low back pain treated using a classification-based approach to physical therapy instead of an approach based on the recommendations of clinical practice guidelines showed greater improvement in disability 4 weeks after initiation of treatment.
- Patients treated using a classification-based approach instead of an approach based on clinical practice guidelines were more likely to return to unrestricted work within the first 4 weeks after treatment.
- Patients treated using a classification-based approach instead of an approach based on clinical practice guidelines were more satisfied with their treatment after 4 weeks.
- Treatment using a classification-based approach did not result in increased medical costs, and instead showed a trend toward decreased costs, as compared with an approach based on clinical practice guidelines.

Conclusions

Better short-term outcomes were found for patients with acute, work-related LBP when they were treated using a classification-based approach to physical therapy instead of an approach based on the recommendations of clinical practice guidelines without regard for an individual patient's signs and symptoms. Although the current study examined only one patient population and clinical environment, the authors believe that identifying relevant classifications of patients with LBP will improve clinical outcomes, and will enhance the power of future clinical trials. Further research is needed to define optimum criteria for classifying and treating patients with acute LBP.

- **Median total medical costs for 1 year after injury were \$1003.68 for the guideline-based group and \$774.00 for the classification-based group**



Identifying Subgroups of Patients With Acute/Subacute “Nonspecific” Low Back Pain

Results of a Randomized Clinical Trial

Gerard P. Brennan, PhD, PT,* Julie M. Fritz, PhD, PT, ATC,* Stephen J. Hunter, MS, PT, OCS,*
Anne Thackeray, PT,* Anthony Delitto, PhD, PT, FAPTA,† and Richard E. Erhard, DC, PT†

- Better clinical outcomes (ODI) found when patients received matched treatment based on their classification
 - Short term (4 weeks)
 - Long term (1 year)



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2

628 Spine • Volume 31 • Number 6 • 2006




Figure 3. Oswestry scores for patients receiving matched or unmatched treatments (intention-to-treat analysis, P values represent differences between the baseline and follow-up scores).

Identifying Subgroups of Patients With Acute/Subacute “Nonspecific” Low Back Pain

Results of a Randomized Clinical Trial

Gerard P. Brennan, PhD, PT,* Julie M. Fritz, PhD, PT, ATC,* Stephen J. Hunter, MS, PT, OCS,*
Anne Thackeray, PT,* Anthony Delitto, PhD, PT, FAPTA,† and Richard E. Erhard, DC, PT†

Key Points

- Identification of a pathoanatomical cause is elusive for many patients with low back pain, invoking a diagnostic label of “nonspecific” low back pain.
- Subgrouping patients with “nonspecific” low back pain based on signs and symptoms has been recommended by researchers and clinicians and supported by recent evidence as a means to improve outcomes.
- This study placed patients with acute/subacute low back pain into one of three treatment subgroups based on their initial signs and symptoms (manipulation, stabilization or specific exercise), then randomized patients to receive one of the three treatments.

- The short- and long-term outcomes did not differ based on the randomized treatment group, or the subgroup, but depended on the interaction between treatment group and subgroup, such that patients receiving the treatment matched to their subgroup had better outcomes than patients randomized to an unmatched treatment.
- Developing methods to subgroup patients with “nonspecific” low back pain can improve the outcomes of care.

Conclusion

The results of this study build on prior findings suggesting that “nonspecific LBP” is actually a heterogeneous condition. Meaningful subgroups of patients can be identified based on signs and symptoms from the clinical examination. Better clinical outcomes can be achieved when subgrouping is used to guide treatment decisions.



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 201

RANDOMIZED TRIAL

A Randomized Controlled Trial on the Effectiveness of a Classification-Based System for Subacute and Chronic Low Back Pain

Adri T. Apeldoorn, PhD,*† Raymond W. Ostelo, PhD,*‡ Hans van Helvoirt, MA,§ Julie M. Fritz, PhD,|| Dirk L. Knol, PhD,* Maurits W. van Tulder, PhD,*¶ and Henrica CW de Vet, PhD*

- No sig differences in any outcomes at 8, 26, and 52 weeks between classification group and “usual PT treatment” group
- Previous studies have shown modest sig improvements when pts are classified
 - Acute and subacute non specific LBP



CLINICAL GUIDELINES

ANTHONY DELITTO, PT, PhD • STEVEN Z. GEORGE, PT, PhD • LINDA VAN DILLEN, PT, PhD • JULIE M. WHITMAN, PT, DSc
GWENDOLYN SOWA, MD, PhD • PAUL SHERELLE, MD, PhD • THOMAS R. DENNINGER, DPT • JOSEPH J. GODGES, DPT, MA

Low Back Pain

Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health from the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association

J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2012;42(4):A1-A57. doi:10.2519/jospt.2012.0301



A INTERVENTIONS – MANUAL THERAPY

Clinicians should consider utilizing thrust manipulative procedures to reduce pain and disability in patients with mobility deficits and acute low back and back-related buttock or thigh pain. Thrust manipulative and nonthrust mobilization procedures can also be used to improve spine and hip mobility and reduce pain and disability in patients with subacute and chronic low back and back-related lower extremity pain.

A INTERVENTIONS – CENTRALIZATION AND DIRECTIONAL PREFERENCE EXERCISES AND PROCEDURES

Clinicians should consider utilizing repeated movements, exercises, or procedures to promote centralization to reduce symptoms in patients with acute low back pain with related (referred) lower extremity pain. Clinicians should consider using repeated exercises in a specific direction determined by treatment response to improve mobility and reduce symptoms in patients with acute, subacute, or chronic low back pain with mobility deficits.

C INTERVENTIONS – LOWER-QUARTER NERVE MOBILIZATION PROCEDURES

Clinicians should consider utilizing lower-quarter nerve mobilization procedures to reduce pain and disability in patients with subacute and chronic low back pain and radiating pain.

D INTERVENTIONS – TRACTION

There is conflicting evidence for the efficacy of intermittent lumbar traction for patients with low back pain. There is preliminary evidence that a subgroup of patients with signs of nerve root compression along with peripheralization of symptoms or a positive crossed straight leg raise will benefit from intermittent lumbar traction in the prone position. There is moderate evidence that clinicians should not utilize intermittent or static lumbar traction for reducing symptoms in patients with acute or subacute, nonradicular low back pain or in patients with chronic low back pain.

GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION	LEVEL OF EVIDENCE
A	Strong evidence: A preponderance of level I and/or level II studies support the recommendation. This must include at least 1 level I study.
B	Moderate evidence: A single high-quality randomized controlled trial or a preponderance of level II studies support the recommendation.
C	Weak evidence: A single level II study or a preponderance of level II and III studies including statements of consensus by content experts support the recommendation.
D	Conflicting evidence: Higher-quality studies conducted on this topic disagree with respect to their conclusions. The recommendation is based on these conflicting studies.
E	Theoretical/ foundational evidence: A preponderance of evidence from animal or cadaver studies, from conceptual models/principles, or from basic sciences/bench research support this conclusion.
F	Expert opinion: Best practice based on the clinical experience of the guideline development team.



Effectiveness of an Extension-Oriented Treatment Approach in a Subgroup of Subjects With Low Back Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial

Physical Therapy Volume 87 Number 12 December 2007

- 48 subjects with LBP radiating into the buttock and thigh whose pain was found to centralize with repeated extension movements
- 2 groups
 - Extension oriented treatment approach (EOTA)
 - Repeated extension exercises
 - PA mobilizations
 - Stabilization group as described by Hicks et al
- EOTA showed sig improvements in disability measures at 1 week, 4 week ad 6 month follow-ups



Efficacy of Directional Preference Management for Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review

Luke D. Surkitt, Jon J. Ford, Andrew J. Hahne, Tania Pizzari, Joan M. McMeeken

Volume 92 Number 5 Physical Therapy May 2012

- Some evidence through RCT to support directional preference management
 - Short term and intermediate effects
 - However no significant evidence and some studies show no effect



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

The Immediate Reduction in Low Back Pain Intensity Following Lumbar Joint Mobilization and Prone Press-ups Is Associated With Increased Diffusion of Water in the L5-S1 Intervertebral Disc

MAY 2010 | VOLUME 40 | NUMBER 5 | JOURNAL OF ORTHOPAEDIC & SPORTS PHYSICAL THERAPY

- Pt's with LBP who were classified into an extension based treatment group
- Looked at diffusion of water from the L5/S1 disc after press ups and PA mobs
- Relationship found between significant reduction of pain and significant increase in diffusion of water from the disc



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

The effect of increasing sets (within one treatment session) and different set durations (between treatment sessions) of lumbar spine posteroanterior mobilisations on pressure pain thresholds

Manual Therapy xxx (2012)

- Assessment of PPT at L4 before, during and after PA mobilizations
 - 19 asymptomatic subjects
- Compared up to 5 sets of either 30 or 60 seconds of mobilizations
- 4+ sets of mobilization achieved the greatest change in PPT
 - No sig difference between 30-60 sec



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

The Immediate Effect of Posteroanterior Mobilization on Reducing Back Pain and the Stiffness of the Lumbar Spine

Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2013;94:673-9

- Looked at the effects of grade 3 PA mobilizations to L4 on pain and mobility
 - Symptomatics and asymptomatics
 - No control
- Significant improvements in reported pain found in symptomatic group following 3 cycles of 60 second mobilizations
- Significant improvements in ROM flexion and extension for both groups after mobilizations



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar Treatment PA mobilization



Central PA



Unilateral PA in extension

Lumbar Treatment – Lumbar Extension in SB





Original article
Slump stretching in the management of non-radicular
low back pain: A pilot clinical trial[☆]

- Control Group
 - Lumbar mobilization and exercise
- Experimental Group
 - Slump stretching, + control group treatment
- Sig improvement in all outcome measures for experimental group



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Slump Stretching

- Slump Stretch
 - Therapist OP cervical flexion in position shown
 - Holds 5 x 30 seconds
 - HEP in position shown
 - Holds 2 x 30 seconds



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Effect of slump stretching versus lumbar mobilization with exercise in subjects with non-radicular low back pain: a randomized clinical trial

Journal of Manual and Manipulative Therapy 2012 VOL. 20 NO. 1

- 60 pt with non radicular LBP and no neurological signs
 - Pt had pain > 3 months
- Control Group
 - Lumbar mobilization and ther-ex
- Experimental group
 - Control Rx plus slump stretching
- Sig improvement for all outcome measures for experimental group



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Slump Stretching

Journal of Manual and Manipulative Therapy 2012 VOL. 20 NO. 1



5 reps of 30 second holds
2x week x 3 weeks



2 reps of 30 second holds
Daily



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

➤ **Associated Factors for expected outcome**

Favorable

Centralizes with
– movement, age,
– first episode, recent
onset

Unfavorable

Radiating symptoms,
– no help at home

➤ If referral to other providers is indicated, Identify: Specific Recommendations.

Pain management for possible
steroid injection or spine surgeon
for consultation



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

SPINE Volume 29, Number 21, pp 2350-2356
©2004, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

■ **Early Intervention for the Management of Acute Low Back Pain**

A Single-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial of Biopsychosocial Education, Manual Therapy, and Exercise

- Control group (assess/advise/wait)
 - Entry into PT after 6 weeks of symptom onset
- Experimental group (assess/advise/treat)
 - Entry into PT within 6 weeks of symptom onset
- 6 month follow-up
 - Experimental group demonstrated significantly better outcomes in
 - Disability, Mental Health, Anxiety, General Health, Vitality, ***Depression***, Social Function
 - Control group was 31% more likely to develop depression compared to experimental group



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Primary Care Referral of Patients With Low Back Pain to Physical Therapy

Impact on Future Health Care Utilization and Costs

Julie M. Fritz, PT, PhD, ATC,* John D. Childs, PT, PhD,† Robert S. Wainner, PT, PhD,‡
and Timothy W. Flynn, PT, PhD§

SPINE Volume 37, Number 25, pp 2114–2121
©2012, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

- 76,967 pt with diagnosis of LBP presenting to primary care identified over 18 month
- PT utilization associated with higher healthcare costs over 18 month period
 - Early PT associated with significantly less healthcare use compared to delayed PT
 - Decreased advanced imaging, additional physician visits, surgery, injections and opioid use



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Review article

Centralization and directional preference: A systematic review

Stephen May^{a,*}, Alessandro Aina^b

Manual Therapy xxx (2012) 1–10

- Centralization is a common clinical finding that can be reliably identified
- Phenomenon has important therapeutic and prognostic value
 - Centralization associated with good prognosis in 21/23 studies examined
 - Significantly less likely to have surgery
- Non-centralization associated with poorer prognosis



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Which Prognostic Factors for Low Back Pain Are Generic Predictors of Outcome Across a Range of Recovery Domains?

Chad E. Cook, Kenneth E. Learman, Bryan J. O'Halloran, Christopher R. Showalter, Vincent J. Kabbaz, Adam P. Goode, Alexis A. Wright

Volume 93 Number 1 Physical Therapy 1 January 2013

- 10 prognostic variables were selected
- Meeting the CPR for lumbar manipulation was greatest predictor of positive outcome regardless of treatment choice
 - Initial symptom irritability and age were next variables



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

SPINE Volume 30, Number 16, pp 1881-1886
©2005, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

■ Prognostic Factors for Low Back Pain in Patients Referred for Physiotherapy Comparing Outcomes and Varying Modeling Techniques

- Most gains made occur within first 3 months after intervention
 - Modest gains beyond that
- Most consistent prognostic variable was duration of symptoms prior to intervention
 - Paying job
 - Intensity of symptoms
 - Functional disability index



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Pattern Recognition


Identify the key subjective and physical features (i.e. **clinical pattern**) that would help you recognize this disorder in the future.

Subjective	Physical
<p>MOI: lifting injury</p> <p>Back pain with referred pain into the buttock and thigh</p> <p>Pain exacerbated with lifting and flexion postures</p> <p>Pain alleviated with extension postures</p>	<p>Flexion motion of the lumbar spine increases lumbar spine pain and referred pain</p> <p>Lateral shift posture</p> <p>Repeated extension centralizes pain</p> <p>+ neurodynamic testing reproducing their peripheral symptoms</p>




Alternate Ending – Case 1b

- Same patient, 5 yrs later
- Another episode of symptoms after catching her 7 y/o jumping off the couch, describing flexion/rotation mechanism
- Describes similar LBP as previous episode but now with sharp, shooting, burning, radiating symptoms along posterior-lateral hamstring, lateral calf and dorsal foot with occasional numbness/tingling into toes
- Symptoms described as severe with more constancy and chemical irritability



Phases of Degeneration



Lumbar Disc – Clinical Characteristics

- Extruded/Sequestered
 - Moderate to severe back and leg pain
 - Leg pain often worse than back pain
 - Lateral deviation with decreased weight bearing through symptomatic LE
 - Limited trunk movement
 - Radicular pain and radiculopathy likely



Radicular Pain

- Pain as a result or irritation of a nerve root or spinal nerve
 - Can occur without radiculopathy
- Quality of radicular pain is “lancinating”
 - Thin band traveling down an extremity
- Disc herniation is the #1 cause of radicular pain
 - Nuclear material starts an inflammatory response
 - Chemicals of inflammation irritate nerve root
 - Edema can lead to compression causing radiculopathy
 - Inflammation also irritates dura leading to somatic referred pain



Radiculopathy

- Neurological condition where nerve conduction is compromised
 - Due to compression or ischemia
 - Leads to weakness and/or sensation loss not pain
 - Pain is due to noxious stimuli to somatic structure (referred) or nerves (radicular)
 - Compression and ischemia is not noxious



Lumbar Radiculopathy

- Epidemiology
 - Prevalence of lumbosacral radiculopathy is approx. 3% - 5%
 - Distributed equally in men and women
 - Men most likely to develop symptoms in 40s
 - Women most likely to develop symptoms between 50-60



- Degenerative*
 - Intervertebral disk herniation
 - Degenerative lumbar spondylosis
- Neoplastic*
 - Primary tumors
 - Ependymoma
 - Schwannoma
 - Neurofibroma
 - Lymphoma
 - Lipoma
 - Dermoid
 - Epidemoid
 - Hemangioblastoma
 - Paraganglioma
 - Ganglioneuroma
 - Osteoma
 - Plasmacytoma
 - Metastatic tumors
 - Leptomeningeal metastasis
- Infectious*
 - Herpes zoster (HZ)
 - Spinal epidural abscess (SEA)
 - HIV/AIDS-related polyradiculopathy
 - Lyme disease
- Inflammatory/metabolic*
 - Diabetic amyotrophy
 - Ankylosing spondylitis
 - Paget's disease
 - Arachnoiditis
 - Sarcoidosis
- Developmental*
 - Tethered cord syndrome
 - Dural ectasia
- Other*
 - Lumbar spinal cysts
 - Hemorrhage



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

Lumbar Radiculopathy Presentations

Neurologic examination findings in monoradiculopathies

Root level	Pain	Sensory loss (paresthesias)	Motor abnormalities or weakness	Muscle stretch reflex abnormalities
L1	Inguinal region	Inguinal region	None	None
L2	Groin, anterior thigh	Anterolateral thigh	Iliopsoas	None
L3	Anterior thigh to knee, anterior leg	Medial thigh and knee	Quadriceps, iliopsoas, hip adductors	Knee jerk
L4	Medial foreleg	Medial lower leg	Tibialis anterior, quadriceps, hip adductors	Knee jerk
L5	Lateral thigh and lower leg, dorsum foot	Lateral lower leg, dorsum foot, great toe	Toe extensors and flexors, ankle dorsiflexor, evertor and invertor, hip abductors	Internal hamstrings
S1	Posterior thigh, calf, heel	Sole, lateral foot and ankle, lateral two toes	Gastrocnemius, hamstrings, gluteus maximus, toe flexors	Ankle jerk
S2-4	Medial buttocks	Medial buttocks, perineal, perianal region	None unless S1-2 involved	Bulbocavernosus, anal wink, Ankle jerk if S1 involved




Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019


www.vompti.com

Lumbar Disc Pathology Herniation

- Vertical orientation of the L/S roots
- Typical posterolateral disc herniation may effect the nerve root exiting the IVF below
 - L4-5 disc effects L5 nerve root
- Posteromedial disc herniation may effect the nerve root exiting 2 IVF below
 - L4-5 disc effects the S1 nerve root



Lumbar Radiculopathy Lower Extremity Dermatomes



Lumbar Mobilization with Neurodynamic Positioning (Elvey)



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series

Manual unloading of the lumbar spine: can it identify immediate responders to mechanical traction in a low back pain population? A study of reliability and criterion referenced predictive validity

Journal of Manual and Manipulative Therapy 2014

- Test shows good predictive validity for those who would benefit from mechanical traction



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2014

Manual Traction/Unweighting Options



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

The Effectiveness of Mechanical Traction Among Subgroups of Patients With Low Back Pain and Leg Pain: A Randomized Trial

MARCH 2016 | VOLUME 46 | NUMBER 3 | JOURNAL OF ORTHOPAEDIC & SPORTS PHYSICAL THERAPY

No difference found between EOTA and an EOTA with mechanical traction for treatment of lumbar radiculopathy



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Combined Movement Treatment



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar Treatment – SB PPIVM Progression



Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy Series 2018-2019

www.vompti.com

Lumbar Treatment PA mobilization



Central PA



Unilateral PA in extension